



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,034	09/09/2003	Jean-Pascal Zambaux	ATMI-658	2051
25559	7590	12/07/2005	EXAMINER	
ATMI, INC. 7 COMMERCE DRIVE DANBURY, CT 06810			MIGGINS, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1772

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/658,034

Applicant(s)

ZAMBAUX, JEAN-PASCAL

Examiner

Michael C. Miggins

Art Unit

1772

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 August 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14,15,17-19,23-26 and 28-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 14-15, 17-19, 23-26, 28-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/31/05 has been entered.

REJECTIONS WITHDRAWN

2. All of the previous rejections of record have been withdrawn.

REJECTIONS REPEATED

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 14-15, 17-19 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yokokoji et al. (Us 4212299).

Claims 31-33 recite method limitations (welding and sealing steps) in a product claim, the method limitations have been given little patentable weight

Art Unit: 1772

since the method limitations do not further structurally limit the product claim and because method limitations are not germane to the patentability of a product claim (MPEP 2113).

Yokokoji discloses an apparatus comprising a pyrogen free sterilized bag, which contains blood (abstract and column 1, lines 5-20, column 3, lines 15-63), comprised of a polymeric film comprising PTFE, or PFA, or ECTFE (column 3, line 15 through column 4, line 34), and a second layer which is coupled to the first film containing one of the materials possible for the first layer (column 4, lines 5-34) and a connector (column 4, lines 29-34) wherein the thickness of each layer is from 15 to 50 microns (column 4, lines 44-47) (applies to instant claims 14-15, 17-19 and 29-31).

The limitation wherein the bag is suitable for heating to at least approximately 253 degrees C for at least approximately 30 or 60 minutes is inherent in the invention of Yokokoji since the reference discloses the same materials as applicant (column 3, lines 15-63) and since all the materials have thermal resistances above 200 degrees C (column 5, lines 5-15) (applies to instant claims 14 and 17).

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to

Art Unit: 1772

be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 14-15, 17-19 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokoji et al. (Us 4212299).

Claims 31-33 recite method limitations (welding and sealing steps) in a product claim, the method limitations have been given little patentable weight since the method limitations do not further structurally limit the product claim and because method limitations are not germane to the patentability of a product claim (MPEP 2113).

Yokokoji discloses an apparatus comprising a pyrogen free sterilized bag, which contains blood (abstract and column 1, lines 5-20, column 3, lines 15-63), comprised of a polymeric film comprising PTFE, or PFA, or ECTFE (column 3, line 15 through column 4, line 34), and a second layer which is coupled to the first film containing one of the materials possible for the first layer (column 4, lines 5-34) and a connector (column 4, lines 29-34) wherein the thickness of each layer is from 15 to 50 microns (column 4, lines 44-47) (applies to instant claims 14-15, 17-19 and 29-31).

The limitation wherein the bag is suitable for heating to at least approximately 253 degrees C for at least approximately 30 or 60 minutes is necessarily present in the invention of Yokokoji since the reference discloses the same materials as applicant (column 3, lines 15-63) and since all the materials have thermal resistances above 200 degrees C (column 5, lines 5-15). Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 1772

time applicant's invention was made to have provided such heat resistance in order to prevent the bag from degrading during sterilization (applies to instant claims 14 and 17).

7. Claims 23-26 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokokoji et al. (US 4212299) in view of Leunberger (US 5314421).

Claims 36-38 recite method limitations (welding and sealing steps) in a product claim, the method limitations have been given little patentable weight since the method limitations do not further structurally limit the product claim and because method limitations are not germane to the patentability of a product claim (MPEP 2113).

Yokokoji discloses an apparatus comprising a pyrogen free sterilized bag, which contains blood (abstract and column 1, lines 5-20, column 3, lines 15-63), comprised of a polymeric film comprising PTFE, or PFA, or ECTFE (column 3, line 15 through column 4, line 34), and a second layer which is coupled to the first film containing one of the materials possible for the first layer (column 4, lines 5-34) and a connector (column 4, lines 29-34) wherein the thickness of each layer is from 15 to 50 microns (column 4, lines 44-47) (applies to instant claims 23-26, 34-36).

The limitation wherein the bag is suitable for heating to at least approximately 253 degrees C for at least approximately 30 or 60 minutes is necessarily present in the invention of Yokokoji since the reference discloses the

Art Unit: 1772

same materials as applicant (column 3, lines 15-63) and since all the materials have thermal resistances above 200 degrees C (column 5, lines 5-15).

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided such heat resistance in order to prevent the bag from degrading during sterilization (applies to instant claims 23 and 28).

The difference between the instant claims and Yokokoji is that Yokokoji fails to disclose instructions or indicia located on the packaging material or inside the packaging material.

Leuenberger discloses instructions or indicia located on the packaging material or inside the packaging material (abstract, column 4, lines 1-45) in a blood pack (column 3, lines 43-50) for the purpose of providing storage information (column 1, lines 5-20) (applies to instant claim 23).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided instructions or indicia located on the packaging material or inside the packaging material on the bag of Yokokoji in order to provide storage information as taught or suggested by Leunberger.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

8. Applicant's arguments filed 8/31/05 have been considered but are moot in view of the grounds for rejection set forth above.

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MCM
November 14, 2005

Michael C. Miggins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael C. Miggins", with a stylized flourish at the end.